UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	05 1157 DEW
v.	Case No. RECEIPT #
PARTNERS HEALTHCARE	SUMMONS ISSUED
SYSTEM, INC.,	LOCAL RULE 4.1

COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through its attorneys, states as follows for its complaint in this matter:

Defendant.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

<T1

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7405(b) to recover an erroneous refund of federal taxes and interest paid to defendant Partners Healthcare System, Inc.
- 2. Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. (Internal Revenue Code, or "IRC") § 7401, this action has been authorized and requested by the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and is commenced at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345, and I.R.C. § 7402(a).
- 4. Defendant Partners Healthcare System, Inc., has its principal place of business at 800 Boylston Street, Prudential Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02199, which is within this judicial district.

- 5. Venue of this case is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1396.
- 6. The refunds of tax and interest at issue are Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) taxes paid and withheld by defendant with respect to wages paid to medical residents during the calendar quarters ending March 31, 2001, June 30, 2001, September 30, 2001, December 31, 2001, March 31, 2002, June 30, 2002, September 30, 2002, December 31, 2002, March 31, 2003, June 30, 2003, September 30, 2003, and December 31, 2003.
- 7. On or about November 12, 2003, the defendant filed a Form 941, Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return, for the quarterly tax period ending September 30, 2003, claiming overpayment credits for the quarterly tax periods ending March 31, 2001, June 30, 2001, September 30, 2001, and December 31, 2003, against its employment tax liabilities for the quarterly tax period ending September 30, 2003. It reported the wages paid to medical residents as wages subject to FICA taxes and paid the FICA taxes shown as due.
- 8. On or about May 13, 2004, the defendant filed a Form 941, Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return, for the quarterly tax period ending March 31, 2004, claiming overpayment credits for the quarterly tax periods ending March 31, 2002, June 31, 2002, September 30, 2002, December 31, 2002, March 31, 2003, June 30, 2003, September 30, 2003, and December 31, 2003, against its employment tax liabilities for the quarterly tax period ending March 31, 2004. It reported the wages paid to medical residents as wages subject to FICA taxes and paid the FICA taxes shown as due.
- 9. On the Forms 941C, Supporting Statement to Correct Information, submitted with the defendant's Form 941 returns for each of the quarterly tax periods ending September 30,

2003 and March 31, 2004, the defendant claimed entitlement to the refunds on the asserted ground that amounts paid to residents in training were scholarship grants and were not "payment for services" within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. § 117(c). The defendant also claimed entitlement to the refunds on the asserted ground that amounts paid to medical residents should have been excluded from FICA wages under the so-called "student" exclusion in 26 U.S.C. § 3121(b)(10).

10. The Internal Revenue Service refunded the FICA taxes claimed, plus interest, in the following total amounts:

Quarters Ended	Amount Refunded	Date Refunded
March 31, 2001, June 30, 2001, September 30, 2001, and December 31, 2001	\$7,333,274.00	December 15, 2003
March 31, 2002, June 30, 2002, September 30, 2002, December 31, 2002, March 31, 2003, June 30, 2003, September 30, 2003, and December 31, 2003	\$16,887,034.49	June 28, 2004
Total Refunded:	\$24,220,308.49	

- 11. The payments to medical residents described above were not excluded from the residents' gross income under the provisions of 26 U.S.C. § 117, nor did they fall within the exception to the definition of "employment" set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 3121(b)(10).
 - 12. The refunds of taxes and interest were therefore erroneous.

13. Based on the foregoing, defendant is liable and indebted to plaintiff United States of America for an aggregate erroneous refund of tax and interest in the amount of \$24,220,308.49, plus further interest thereon.

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that it be awarded judgment against the defendant for the amount of \$24,220,308.49, plus interest according to law and for all other proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN United States Attorney

STEPHEN T. LYONS

ELIZABETH LAN DAVIS

Trial Attorneys, Tax Division

U.S. Department of Justice

Post Office Box 55

Washington, D.C. 20044

Telephone: (202) 307-6546 (Lyons)

(202) 307-6550 (Davis)

E-mail: Elizabeth.Lan@usdoj.gov

- 4 - 549072.1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover she rule 40.1(a)(1)).	Inc.
II. 195, 196, 388, 400, 440, 441-446, 540, 560, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 c 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 660, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. 3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has bee district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO O 6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2 YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Personal Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division PES NO YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	sheet. (See local
T40, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. III.	
315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. V. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has bee district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. A. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES	
Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has beer district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO O STAND NO NO YES NO O O NO O NO O NO O NO O NO O NO O	No.
Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has beer district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO O STAND NO NO YES NO O O NO O NO O NO O NO O NO O NO O	176 L
4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO Y NO Y So Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest \$2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO 6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC \$2 YES NO 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwer Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Lon YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Nestern Division Residency Fastern Division Central Division Nestern Division Residency Fastern Division Residency Fa	
S. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest §2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO O NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	een filed in this
if so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO	
If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO	erest? (See 28 USC
6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2 YES NO NO 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwer Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Lor YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding govern residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division 8. If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Could be submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO Y (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	
YES NO	
7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwer Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Located Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside? A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division	§2284?
Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Loc YES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	
A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division	
Eastern Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding govern residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Cosubmit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	
B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding govern residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division 8. If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Casubmit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	
residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division 8. If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Coupling to the submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	rision
8. If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Countries submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	ernmental agencies,
submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	rision
(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	Court? (If yes,
ATTORNEY'S NAME Elizabeth Lan Davis	
ADDRESS U.S. Department of Justice, Post Office Box 55, Washington, DC 20044	
TELEPHONE NO. 202-307-6550	

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

are civil docker sheet. (SEE 17)	TROOTIONS ON THE RET BROD OF THE TORRES			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		DEFENDANTS PARTNERS HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, INC.		
· •	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
			D CONDEMNATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
		LAND	INVOLVED.	7 O TATALY
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attornays of Know)		78 DPW
	eth Lan Davis, Trial Attys., Tax Div., US ashington, DC 20044 Tel: 202-307-6550	Dept.	1 2 0 "	**************************************
II. BASIS OF JURISD		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
■ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	incipal Place 🗖 4 🗖 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2	
	(diameter of the control of the cont	Citizen or Subject of a	1 3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV NATURE OF CHI	F (c)	Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Med. Malpractice		28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 30 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury	- of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Person		PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	RTY 660 Occupational Safety/Health	3 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other	COCTA SECURITY	810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	B LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca	ate 🗇 790 Other Labor Litigation	🗷 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General	Security Not	26 USC 7609	Act
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O	ther		900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Employment			to Justice
	Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	n l		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights		<u></u>	
en 1 🛅 🤄	an "X" in One Box Only) Removed from		ferred from	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate
	tate Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	Reopened (spec	ify) Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 26 USC 7402, 7405	are ming (150 not cite jurisdiction	ai statutes umess diversity);	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	Codonal annular mant tours		
VII. REQUESTED IN	Suit to recover erroneous refund of CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO		CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND	
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S) (See instructions):	·		
IF ANY	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 4126/05	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD	7.1	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- Exacts	1 / Civi get	/ <u>v. j</u>	
RECEIPT # A	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP _	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE